

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D. C.

HCO BULLETIN OF MAY 29, 1958

SPECIAL BULLETIN

Standard Clear Procedure and an Experimental Road:

Clearing by Valences

There have been many roads to clear.

The first was the most simple in description but the most difficult to audit. I never succeeded in teaching it to anyone. All one did was renew the PCs confidence in being able to face sonic, visio, tactile, etc. in the bank by gradient scale and at long last he would be able to confront a bank wholly. When that happened he didn't have a reactive bank. He was clear. It required a very gentle touch. That was the way I made all the early clears in 1947 to 1949. Then I had to explain it all to the "scientists" and the fact of clear was lost in the mire of the roadway for some years. I've been accused of wanting it that way to tell the sheep from the goats. The point remains that this route was the first successful route. We did not know how much there was to a bank or its anatomy. We had to know the worst before the sun came up again. It came up in Dec. of 1957 with my development of "help" and "Step 6". Suddenly we were making clears. Making them out of both high and low profile cases, out of occluded cases and wide open cases.

Clearing is now an accomplished fact for any well-trained validated auditor using a central organization E-Meter.

The further in miles from the central organization the attempt to clear is tried, the more difficulty is being experienced. First the word goes out that clearing is being done then the how-to-do-it. By the time it gets to Alaska or the Bronx or some distant place, the auditor is uncertain as to the right way and even the fact of clearing. He tries it or (thinks he does) (his version anyway) and laying an egg or two, gives up or thinks it isn't real.

For such an auditor an HAA clearing course is indicated. (1) He'll learn right and (2) he'll see some clears around and begin to understand what one is. And he'll know there is at least one valid road to clear that he can take and do.

Therefore we do not really need right now more roads to clear and certainly we need no roads to O.T. while the path to clear is still a thin blazed trail. Good Heavens, what's happened is wonderful enough - and nobody away has any reality on that yet. However I am still on the job looking for (1) Alternate clear roads and (2) Roads to O.T.

Standard Clearing Procedure, the procedure that is making clears in skilled hands, is a very set S.C.P. indeed. It alone has made all clears to date by persons other than myself.

S.C.P. is aided here and there by other techniques used to cross a block or two faster. But all older techniques only assist the steps of S.C.P. (and sometimes impede S.C.P.) Of course there are some people who would rather walk in the swamp alongside the causeway just built - that's up to them. If they know there's a causeway and still walk in a swamp it's power of choice. If they haven't seen the big causeway beside them and walk in the swamp, that's stupidity.

Standard Clearing Procedure works as follows:

Requisite for auditor - Validated certificate.

Tools: a quiet room and clearing E-Meter from D.C. or London (not some tin quivering together on the hopes of some tinker nor yet an old Model T

E-Meter made in Calif).

Publications: "Clear Procedure" available from the HCO.

First action: Start session CCH 0.

Second action: Search out by meter a PT problem and run it by finding "What part of it PC can be responsible for" as a repetitive command, formal auditing.

Third action: CCH 0 b. Clear help in brackets with a meter, running meter toward a freer needle. Don't over-run a lag of the bracket and get the PC stuck or anaten.

Fourth action: Run Step 6 of the book "Clear Procedure" and run it flat.

Fifth action: Reclear Help.

Sixth action: Step 6 until flat, flat, flat and needle free.

That's S.C.P. It is assisted by SCS and Connectedness on some PCs.

S.C.P. is an accomplished fact only if the auditor has good training and validation. He doesn't have to be clear. But he has to be accurate. The HAA/BScn course teaches Validation and Clearing, HCA/HPA teach the basics of Scientology - you have to know those first.

Thus an experimental road to Clear is today a luxury. But you know me - I'm always cutting corners.

So here is an alternate, still in theoretical stage, which promises to be the 3rd successful road. However it requires even greater auditing skill and understanding than SCP but may be faster for lower cases.

It is called "Clearing By Valences".

Its theory is simple. One can assume that a thetan has all the attributes of clear in his basic personality (see Book 1, "Dianetics: Modern Science of Mental Health" for a discussion of Basic Personality). The action of clearing gives a person back to himself. Therefore the bulldozing of rubble from the basic personality would give us a clear.

I have known for some time that an APA or OCA profile was a picture of a valence or of valences - artificial overlays. I have also known that there is a basic personality. When you clear someone you don't get a ghost or a god - you get a distinct personality. Men are not equal even if the highest courts in the U.S. so insist. And neither are clears. It is Commie-psychiatric thinking that each is equal to the next like grains of mush. You can generalize by saying clears are good and able. But some are gooder than others and some are distinctly differently able. So people are different.

But valences, (borrowed, artificial personalities) overlay the real self and weaken it. Valences are the sum of overwhelmings of the PC. Whenever he lost he got one.

His basic personality was invalidated so he sought new ones. These were invalidated so he sought even newer ones. Like standing between two mirrors facing each other we achieve the multiple PC. But where is the clear? We find him when we scoop away the thousands of others he is being.

The first straight wire run at Elizabeth, N.J. in 1950 succeeded when it knocked off a sick valence. Well we can knock them off wholesale today - with skilled auditing.

The clue is the Curiosity-Desire-Enforce-Inhibit Scale run on valences.

That which the PC erases with difficulty is misowned by him. Therefore it is a valence. In the presence of valences he cannot change his mind easily

when he misowns the consideration. Therefore all fixed, harmful ideas or aberrations stem from valences.

The process on this would be "Tell me how you could waste a (male) (female) (other) valence". This would have to be cleared as a command thoroughly and often. That's the skill.

An auditor can ask a PC about an aberration and spot a valence possibility. And then run it by waste, etc.

People usually have to waste before they can have. A person who can have a valence isn't subject to it.

This type of command is rounded off with "What part of that valence could you be responsible for?"

The general rules of auditing must be observed. The basics of Scientology must be understood. And great skill and understanding are required of the auditor.

"Tell me how you could waste father's valence" "-----a fat valence" "-----a defeated valence" etc. The list is enormous.

Well there it is in the rough. When it's made some clears it will be an alternate probably and have a highly polished form like S.C.P.

Right now it is used as an assist to SCP on a difficult case as per the next PAB.

L. RON HUBBARD

bt

retype: ggn

Copyright (c) 1958
by L. Ron Hubbard
All Rights Reserved.